United States

Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

OAKLAND MOTOR CAR COMPANY, Appellant,

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Apellee.

Transcript of Record.

Upon Appeal from the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division.



United States

Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

OAKLAND MOTOR CAR COMPANY, Appellant,

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Apellee.

Transcript of Record.

Upon Appeal from the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division. *

INDEX TO THE PRINTED TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

[Clerk's Note: When deemed likely to be of an important nature, errors or doubtful matters appearing in the original certified record are printed literally in italic; and, likewise, cancelled matter appearing in the original certified record is printed and cancelled herein accord ingly. When possible, an omission from the text is indicated by printing in italic the two words between which the omission seems to occur.]

Page

Affidavit of D. A. Healey and Petition of Oak-	
land Motor Car Company for Return of	
Automobile	3
Answer to Petition for Return of Personal	
Property	9
Assignment of Errors	19
Certificate of Clerk U. S. District Court to	
Transcript of Record	25
Citation on Appeal	26
EXHIBITS:	
Exhibit "A" - Affidavit of J. C. Light-	
house	11
Names and Addresses of Attorneys of Record.	1
Order Allowing Appeal	21
Order Denying Motion for Return of Auto-	
mobile	1 4
Petition for Appeal	18
Petition of Oakland Motor Car Company for	
Return of Automobile	5
Praecipe for Transcript of Record	1
Supersedeas Order	22
Undertaking on Appeal	23

--

Names and Addresses of Attorneys of Record.

- P. R. LUND, Esq., Attorney for Appellant, San Francisco, Calif.
- JNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Attorney for Appellee, San Francisco, Calif.

in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

No. 12,871.

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Praccipe for Transcript of Record.

Co the Clerk of the Above-entitled Court:

6

You are hereby requested to make up the record in appeal in the above-entitled cause including herein the following documents on file in your ofice:

1. Affidavit and petition of Oakland Motor Car Company for return of automobile, together with Exhibit "A" attached thereto.

2. The answer of the United States of America to said petition, together with any exhibits which nay be thereto attached. 3. The order of Court made and entered April 14th, 1923, denying the application of said Oakland Motor Car Company.

- 4. The petition for appeal.
- 5. Specification of errors.
- 6. Order allowing appeal.
- 7. Undertaking on appeal.
- 8. Supersedeas order.
- 9. Citation on appeal.

P. R. LUND,

Solicitor and Counsel for Appellant.

[Endorsed]: Filed at 10 o'clock and 15 min. A. M., Apr. 26, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. M. Taylor, Deputy Clerk. [1*]

In the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Division One.

12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

^{*}Page-number appearing at foot of page of original certified Transcript of Record.

Affidavit of D. A. Healey and Petition of Oakland Motor Car Company (for Return of Automobile).

State of California,

City and County of San Francisco,—ss.

D. A. Healey, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That at all of the times herein mentioned Oakland Motor Car Company was and now is engaged in the manufacture of and sale of automobiles in the City and County of San Francisco and at all of said times this affiant was and now is an employee of the said Oakland Motor Car Company and as such employee is fully familiar with the facts below stated and hence makes this affidavit on behalf of said Oakland Motor Car Company.

That on or about the 1st day of May, 1922, Oakland Motor Car Company sold and Daniel Belli purchased from said Oakland Motor Car Company, one Oakland 1921–22 Touring Car 34–D No. 167278, Motor No. H–81435.

That said sale was evidenced by a certain agreement in writing executed on or about the 1st day of May, 1922, and that a true copy of said agreement is annexed to this affidavit and made a part thereof for all purposes.

That the purchase price agreed upon between the buyer and the seller for the said automobile was Nine Hundred Ninety-four and 8/100 (\$994.08) Dollars, to that Three Hundred and [2] 00/100 (\$300.00) Dollars, was paid at the time of delivery of said automobile and subsequently thereto monthly payments, upon the balance due, were made so that at this time there remains due from the said Daniel Belli to Oakland Motor Car Company on account of the said balance of said purchase price the sum of Six Hundred Ninety-four and 8/100 (\$694.08) Dollars.

That under the terms of said contract the legal title to said automobile remains in the Oakland Motor Car Company until the full purchase price of Nine Hundred Ninety-four and 8/100 (\$994.08) Dollars has been paid.

That affiant is informed and believes that the said Daniel Belli, the defendant herein, has no property or assets of record in the City and County of San Francisco upon which an execution could be levied.

That one of the provisions of said contract of sale is that the purchaser shall not at any time permit the said automobile to be removed from his possession or to permit any adverse claim of any character against the same, and not to operate the same contrary to law.

That affiant is informed and believes and on such information and belief states that in the month of September, 1922, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the said defendant, Daniel Belli, was arrested and the said automobile was seized for the alleged unlawful transportation of intoxicating liquor in violation of the so-called National Prohibition Act and that the said automobile is now in the possession and custody of the United States Prohibition Enforcement Officer at San Francisco, California, and that said automobile is subjected to the further order of this Court.

Affiant further states that at the time said automobile [3] was entrusted to the care and custody of Daniel Belli, defendant herein, this affiant had no knowledge or information nor has said affiant had any notice or information or suspected that at the time said automobile was entrusted to the care and custody of Daniel Belli, defendant herein, and the Oakland Motor Car Company had no knowledge or information nor has it had any notice or information or suspected that said Daniel Belli, since that time intended to use or was using said automobile in unlawfully transporting intoxicating liquor.

D. A. HEALEY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2d day of Jany., 1923.

[Seal] R. P. SHAPRO,

Notary Public, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

My commission expires October 7th, 1924.

Petition of Oakland Motor Car Company for Return of Automobile.

Wherefore your petitioner, Oakland Motor Car Company, prays for an order of this Court restoring and surrendering to it the said automobile in accordance with the provisions of said contract of sale hereto annexed, because of the breach by the purchaser of one of the essential conditions of said contract; or if the said automobile is not so restored and surrendered to your petitioner, but the same be sold in the manner provided by law that in that event, the amount due your petitioner be paid in full out of the moneys realized from said sale, unless the amount paid for said automobile at the time of said sale be less than Six Hundred Ninety-four and 8/100 (\$694.08) Dollars, in which event your petitioner prays that the said automobile be returned to your petitioner. [4]

P. R. LUND,

Attorney for Petitioner,

#444 California Street,

San Francisco, California.

Receipt of copy of the within petition admitted this 26th day of February, 1923.

JOHN T. WILLIAMS,

E. L.

U. S. Attorney.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 27, 1923. W. B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [5]

<section-header><form></form></section-header>	H. Z. Johnston Celler's Street and Town Address) Dent gin her wiles you with property described above, since by doing a pour no's fread yours) Just property described above, since by doing a pour no's fread yours) H. Z. Johnston Daniel Jist property described above, since by doing a pour no's fread yours) (L. S.) M. Witness Signature) By (Official Title) (L. S.) By (Official Title) (Dentate above, Back B4-A (Purchaser's Street and Town Address)	Schedule of Payments \$ 69408 San Franci soo, Gal, May.1, 1922. Schedule of Payments Schedule of Payments Schedule of Payments Sch Sch Sch S
--	--	--

Pisca all revenue mampa below this like	DEALER'S REC To GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANC Bor the purpose of inducing you to purchase submit the foregoing statement which the undersite of suid property, and where deal treed, we charge of suid property, and where deal treed, we charge of suid property, and where deal treed, we charge of suid property and state of the which dearthed for way valued in the charge which concrete, and the under and the three which concrete, and do every day and hink necessary to collect and due to vary with main the charge of the state of the the with with the state of the state of the do every day and hink necessary to collect and due to vary with the state of the state of the state in with with the state of the state of the state of the with the state of the state of the state of the due to the state of the state of the state of the state in with the state of the state	we) hereby çertif promptly as they	How much insurance are you carrying on merchandise \$	Assets Dollars only Liabilities Gab on hand and in banks Accounts payable (Good) Accounts payable No tes Fayable No tes	References:(Other than Relatives). One r 1 (Name) 2 (Name) 2 (Name) 1 (we) expect to make payments from: 1 (we) expect to fluxiness□ ; or from: 1 (Name) 0r from other sources of income, namely Or from other sources of income, namely Have you owned a motor vehicle before? Motor vehicle will be used	ees for yourself, specify w ddress	(It i* imperative th PU Dated at
(Seiler'e Signature) By (Official Tide) (Additional Indoract)	SRECOMMENDATION AND ASSI TANCE CORPORATION: undersigned between the substantially include a more integraped between the substantially includes and more integraped between the substantially includes and the property and the time of the transection of which do for (s) allows and encounteraces, and that the undersign does hareby sell, assign and transfer to General Motors Are tract, and the opperty source (hereby and authorities and dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his, its or their name this dersigned has been undersided his dersided his de	p, eccept 3:on (what crop (or we are) confident that I (or we) Signed By	s Divides s Divides XK RAISER, ETC., alls Owing on Marten alls or Contract	Dollars only It any portion What work do you experiment For what concern do you For what concern do you For what concern do you How much on as average How much for Garage of this Motor How much for Garage of earlings of this Motor How much for Garage of earlings of this Motor	must be business house with v Salary [] Cash in Bank [] om the sale of Oats [] Corn [] om the sale of Oats [] Cornke If source checke If bought on time, th % for pleasure	kind	at purchaser supply all informati RCHASER'S STATEMEN (Sittee) and to General named Dealer and induce the above may be executed by me (us) in conne may be executed by me (us) in conne (Sitteet) (Sitte
A series of the	naerigned, the naerigned, the per cet (75%) be title to be afor t to asign use for titon, his, le or al) to to to to can make the payments on said (Purchaser) (Purchaser) (Official Title)	On building, machinery and faxtures, etc., \$	void revenues to be much to earn \$err month or per multi do you expect to earn \$err month you expect to pay on an average for you expect to pay any personal expense from rep, do you expect to pay personal expense from rep, do you expect to pay fromper month mes do you expect to pay fromper month inde	credit de ssional F tton □ S	sthly Income a	cquested) ors Acceptance Corporat with such credit, I (we (City) (City) Corporation

.

1-1

In the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division.

No. 12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Answer to Petition for Return of Personal Property.

Comes now the above-named plaintiff, by John T. Williams, as United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of the State of California, acting for and in behalf of said plaintiff and Samuel F. Rutter, as Federal Prohibition Director in and for the State of California, and for answer to the petition of petitioner herein, denies and alleges as follows:

That plaintiff and respondent have no information or belief respecting the allegations in petitioner's petition herein, to wit: "That at the time said automobile was entrusted to the care and custody of Daniel Belli, defendant herein, this affiant had no knowledge or information nor has said affiant had any notice or information or suspected that at the time said automobile was entrusted to the care and custody of Daniel Belli, defendant herein, and the Oakland Motor Car Company had no knowledge or information nor has it had any notice or information or suspected that said Daniel Belli, since that time intended to use or was using said automobile in unlawfully transporting intoxicating liquors," sufficient to enable plaintiff or respondent to answer the same, and basing his answer upon that ground denies that when said car was entrusted to the care or custody of Daniel Belli the said affiant D. A. Healey had no knowledge, notice, information or suspected that said Daniel Belli intended to use [8] or was using said automobile in unlawfully transporting intoxicating liquor, and upon the same ground denies that the said Oakland Motor Car Company had no knowledge, information, notice or suspected that the said Daniel Belli intended to use or was using said automobile in said unlawful transportation of intoxicating liquor.

That the facts and circumstances connected with the seizure of said automobile are fully set out in the affidavit of one J. C. Lighthouse, which said affidavit is hereto attached, made part hereof, and marked Exhibit "A," to the same effect as if again set out herein in full.

WHEREFORE plaintiff and respondent prays that said petition be denied.

JOHN T. WILLIAMS,

United States Attorney,

BEN F. GEIS,

Assistant United States Atty.,

Attorneys for Plaintiff. [9]

10

Exhibit "A."

In the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division.

No. 12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Affidavit of J. C. Lighthouse.

United States of America, Northern District of California, City and County of San Francisco,—ss.

J. C. Lighthouse, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is and at all of the times herein mentioned was a Deputy Collector of the Internal Revenue for the First Internal Revenue Collection District of the State of California.

That on the 26th day of September, 1922, affiant as such Deputy Collector of Internal Revenue, and acting as such, was at 378 Broadway Street, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and while there present the defendant Daniel Belli drove up to said place in an Oakland 1921–22 touring car, being the car mentioned, described and referred to in petitioner's petition herein; that at the time the said defendant drove up to said place he had in the said touring car two five-gallon kegs of

Oakland Motor Car Company

intoxicating liquor, to wit, brandy, containing onehalf of one per centum and more of alcohol by volume and fit for use for beverage purposes, and had transported the same from some place unknown to affiant to said No. 378 Broadway Street in said City and county; that the said defendant at the time of the said transportation had no permit to transport or have in his possession said or any intoxicating liquor; that affiant then and there seized the said liquor and said automobile and immediately thereafter, to wit, the last-mentioned date, made an affidavit and caused an information to be filed [10] charging the said defendant with possessing and transporting the said intoxicating liquor.

That thereafter and heretofore, affiant as such Deputy Collector of Internal Revenue, delivered the said car and said property to Samuel F. Rutter as Prohibition Director in and for the State of California, and the same is now in his possession.

J. C. LIGHTHOUSE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of March, A. D. 1923.

[Seal] C. M. TAYLOR,

Deputy Clerk, U. S. District Court, Northern District of California.

[Endorsed]: Filed Mar. 10, 1923. W. B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [11]

12

In the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division.

No. 12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

No. 12,188.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GUISEPPE CAPACIOLI,

Defendant.

No. 12,296.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

E. O. KILDALL et al.,

Defendant.

No. 12,957.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JACK MODESTI,

Defendant.

Order Denying Motion (for Return of Automobile). PARTRIDGE, JOHN S. [12]

In each of the above-entitled causes the defendants duly pleaded guilty and were punished for the illegal transportation of liquors contrary to the provisions of the National Prohibition Statute. In each case the liquor was found in an automobile and the automobile was seized and confiscated by the Government. The defendant in each case was in possession of the automobile by virtue of a contract of sale by which the title to the automobile was retained by the vendor, said title not to pass to the defendant until the payment of certain specified sums of money. All of these contracts were in the form of conditional sales, long recognized under the law of California.

In the first three causes the matters are before the Court on petitions for return of the automobile by the vendor. In the last cause, however, the vendor does not ask for the return of the automobile, but applies for an order establishing a lien upon the proceeds of the sale, to the extent of the balance of the unpaid purchase price.

Section 26 of the National Prohibition law provides: "Whenever intoxicating liquors transported or possessed illegally shall be seized by an officer, he shall take possession of the vehicle and team, or automobile and shall arrest any person in charge thereof. The courts upon conviction of the person so arrested, shall order the liquor destroyed and, unless good cause to the contrary is shown by the owner, shall order a sale by public auction of the property seized, and the officer making the sale shall pay all liens according to the priority, which are established as being *bona fide* and as having been created without the lienor having any notice that the carrying vehicle was being used or was to be used for illegal transportation of the liquor." [13]

It is not by any means easy to reconcile the decisions upon Section 26 of the Act. Judge Thomas, District Judge of the District of Connecticut in United States vs. Silvester, 273 Fed. 253 allowed a lien for the amount of the unpaid purchase price under what the opinion calls "a conditional bill of sale," although he denied the return of the automobile. The opinion seems to treat the unpaid purchase price as a lien upon the property. He denied the petition for the return of the automobile, however, upon the theory that that would permit "a lienor or mortgagor to profit by the transaction and that result was never intended by the framers of the law."

Quite recently Judge Dooling of this District, sitting in the District of Arizona, in the United States vs. Marshal Montgomery, et al., held distinctly and emphatically that the vendor under a conditional bill of sale has no lien upon the automobile. He gives this as his reason: "It is not unreasonable to suppose Congress had in mind the fact that an owner may determine who shall have the use of a vehicle and thus, in a measure, control such use, while a lienor may not, because he is at no time entitled to its possession." It seems to me that this is clearly the proper rule to apply in a case arising under a contract of conditional sale made and to be performed in the State of California. It is perfectly well settled in this state that under one of these conditional contracts for the sale of personal property, the title remains in the vendor and if the property is destroyed, the loss falls upon him. Potts Company vs. Benedict, 156 Cal. 322; Waltz vs. Silveria, 25 Cal. App. 717. It is equally well settled that the vendor has his option of either of two remedies upon the failure of the vendee to pay the balance of the purchase price: [14]

First, he can take back the property because the title is still in him;

Second, he can waive this right, treat the sale as absolute, and sue for the balance; but he cannot do both. Parke & Lacey Company vs. White River Lumber Company, 101 Cal. 37, Holt Manufacturing Company vs. Ewing, 109 Cal. 353; Waltz vs. Silveria, *supra*; Muncy vs. Brain, 158 Cal. 300; Adams vs. Anthony 178 Cal. 158.

Reference was made on the argument and the submission of authorities to the recent case of McDowell vs. United States, No. 3865, decided by the Circuit Court of Appeals for this Circuit on February 5th. In that case, however, the real question involved was whether Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes had been repealed by the provisions of the National Prohibition Act. It was clearly recognized that under Section 3450, the conveyance in which goods were moved in an at-

vs. United States of America.

tempt to defraud the United States of a tax was absolutely forfeited, whether or not the person so conveying the goods was the actual owner of the vehicle or not. In that case the Court says that this provisions of the Revised Statutes was in effect repealed by Section 26 of the National Prohibition Act. It is therefore apparent that unless language is found in Section 26 which would relieve the vendor under a conditional bill of sale from the provisions of forfeiture and sale, that those latter provisions would authorize the Government to seize and sell the conveying vehicle. As Judge Dooling points out in his decision, no such language is found.

It is clear to me, therefore, that at least in California, the following conclusions are inevitable: [15]

1. The vendor under a conditional bill of sale retaining title to the property in himself cannot compel the return of the property by the Government;

2. Such a vendor has no lien upon such a vehicle for the very simple reason that he is the owner thereof.

The motions, therefore, in each case will be denied.

Dated: April 14, 1923.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 14, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [16]

No. 12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Petition for Appeal.

To the Honorable JOHN S. PARTRIDGE, District Judge.

The Oakland Motor Car Company, petitioner herein, feeling aggrieved by the decree and order rendered and entered in the above-entitled cause on the 14th day of April, A. D. 1923, does hereby appeal from said decree and order to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial Circuit for the reasons set forth in the assignment of errors filed herewith, and it prays that its appeal be allowed and that citation be issued as provided by law, and that a transcript of the record, proceedings and document upon which said decree and order was based, duly authenticated be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial Circuit, sitting at San Francisco, under the rules of such court in such cases made and provided.

vs. United States of America.

And your petitioner further prays that the proper order relating to the required security to be required of it be made.

P. R. LUND,

Solicitor and Counsel for Appellant.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 24, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [17]

In the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

No. 12,871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Assignment of Errors.

Now comes the Oakland Motor Car Company, petitioner herein, in the above-entitled cause and files the following assignment of errors upon which it will rely upon its prosecution of the appeal in the above-entitled cause, from the decree and order made by this Honorable Court on the 14th day of April, 1923.

I.

That the United States District Court for the Northern District of California erred in refusing to render an order and decree pursuant to the petition of the Oakland Motor Car Company, filed in the above cause, applying for the return to it, the said Oakland Motor Car Company, of a certain touring car in said petition described.

II.

That the United States District Court for the Northern District of California erred in refusing to decree that the Oakland Motor Car Company have a lien, after deducting the cost of seizure and expenses of keeping and sale of the certain touring car, described in the petition of the said Oakland Motor Car Company filed herein, to the extent of Six Hundred Ninety-four [18] and 8/100 (\$694.-08.) Dollars.

III.

That the United States District Court for the Northern District of California erred in refusing to decree that the Oakland Motor Car Company have a lien upon the proceeds of sale of the certain touring car described in the petition of the said Oakland Motor Car Company, filed herein.

P. R. LUND,

Solicitor and Counsel for Appellant.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 24, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [19]

No. 12871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Order Allowing Appeal.

On motion of P. R. Lund, Esq., solicitor and counsel for the Oakland Motor Car Company, petitioner herein, it is hereby ordered that an appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial District from an order and decree heretofore filed and entered herein, be, and the same is hereby allowed and that a certified transcript of the record, testimony, exhibits, stipulations, and all proceedings be forthwith transmitted to said Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial District. It is further ordered that the bond on appeal be fixed in the sum of \$500.00, the same to act as a supersedeas bond and also as a bond for costs and damages on appeal.

JOHN S. PARTRIDGE, Judge.

Dated this 24th day of April, 1923.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 24, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [20]

No. 12871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Supersedeas Order.

This cause coming on to be heard this — day of April, 1923, upon the application of the appellant for an appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial District and said appeal having been allowed, it is ordered that the same shall act as a supersedeas, the said appellant having executed bonds in the sum of \$500.00 as provided by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to stay the mandate of the District Court of the Northern District of California until the further order of this court.

JOHN S. PARTRIDGE,

Judge.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 26, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Galbreath, Deputy Clerk. [21]

No. 12871.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANIEL BELLI,

Defendant.

Undertaking on Appeal.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That the Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and licensed and authorized to conduct a bonding and surety business within and under the laws of the State of California is held, and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the full and just sum of \$500.00, to be paid to the said United States of America; to which payment well and truly to be made, the said Globe Indemnity Company hereby binds itself, its successors and assigns by these presents.

Signed, sealed and executed at San Francisco, California, this 26th day of April, A. D. 1923, on behalf of the Globe Indemnity Company by its attorney in fact, thereunto duly authorized.

Whereas, lately at a District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California in the above-entitled cause pending in said Court, an order and decree was rendered against the Oakland Motor Car Company, petitioner, in intervention in said action, and the said Oakland Motor Car Company having obtained from said Court, an appeal to reverse the order and decree [22] in the aforesaid intervention and a citation directed to the said United States of America citing and admonishing it to be and appear at a United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, to be holden at San Francisco, in the State of California.

Now, the condition of the above obligation is such, That if the said Oakland Motor Car Company shall prosecute to effect, and answer all damages and costs if it fail to make its plea good, then the above obligation to be void; else to remain in full force and virtue.

GLOBE INDEMNITY COMPANY, (Signed) By J. S. ELLIOTT, (Seal) Attorney in Fact.

J. S. ELLIOTT.

Form of bond and sufficiency of sureties approved.

JOHN S. PARTRIDGE,

Judge.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 26, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [23]

Certificate of Clerk U. S. District Court to Transcript of Record.

I, Walter B. Maling, Clerk of the United States District Court, for the Northern District of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing 23 pages, numbered from 1 to 23, inclusive, contain a full, true and correct transcript of certain records and proceedings, in the case of United States of America vs. Daniel Belli (Oakland Motor Car Co. Claimant of Automobile), No. 12871, as the same now remain on file and of record in this office; said transcript having been prepared pursuant to and in accordance with the praecipe for transcript on appeal (copy of which is embodied herein) and the instructions of the attorney for claimant and appellant herein.

I further certify that the cost for preparing and certifying the foregoing transcript on appeal is the sum of Eight Dollars and Seventy-five Cents (\$8.75), and that the same has been paid to me by the attorney for appellant herein.

Annexed hereto is the original citation on appeal herein.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said District Court, this 8th day of May, A. D. 1923.

[Seal] WALTER B. MALING, Clerk.

> By C. M. Taylor, Deputy Clerk. [24]

(Citation on Appeal.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,—ss.

The President of the United States, to the United States of America and to the Honorable JOHN T. WILLIAMS, United States Attorney, GREETING:

You are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear at a United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, to be holden at the City of San Francisco, in the State of California, within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to an order allowing an appeal, of record in the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, wherein United States of America is plaintiff and Daniel Belli is defendant and petitioner in intervention, Oakland Motor Car Company is appellant, and you are appellee, to show cause, if any there be, why the decree rendered against the said appellant, as in the said order allowing appeal mentioned, should not be corrected, and why speedy justice should not be done to the parties in that behalf.

WITNESS, the Honorable JOHN S. PAR-TRIDGE, United States District Judge for the Northern District of California, this 26th day of April, A. D. 1923.

JOHN S. PARTRIDGE,

United States District Judge.

[Endorsed]: No. 12,871. United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

vs. United States of America.

Oakland Motor Car Company, (a Corporation), Appellant, vs. United States of America. Citation on Appeal. Filed Apr. 26, 1923. Walter B. Maling, Clerk. By C. W. Calbreath, Deputy Clerk. [25]

[Endorsed]: No. 4025. United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oakland Motor Car Company, Appellant, vs. United States of America, Appellee. Transcript of Record. Upon Appeal from the Southern Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, First Division.

Filed May 8, 1923.

F. D. MONCKTON,

Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

By Paul P. O'Brien,

Deputy Clerk.

\$